

Australian Politician, Ann Bressington

U.N. Agenda 21 Sustainable Development

Club of Rome

Ladies and Gentlemen . . . the origins of the environmental movement, as we see it, began back in 1968 when the Club of Rome was formed. The Club of Rome has been described as a crisis think tank which specializes in crisis creation. The main purpose of this think tank was to formulate a crisis that would unite the world and condition us to the idea of global solutions to local problems.

In a document called the First Global Revolution, authored by Alexander King and Bertrand Schneider, on pages 104 and 105, it stated "In searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like, would fit the bill. All these dangers, of course, will be caused by human intervention that will require a global response. That is the origin of global warming, ladies and gentlemen.

In 1975, Australia agreed to bring in a new economic order via the Lima Declaration on the 2nd Conference of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization. The outcome of this was the Lima Declaration which was a blueprint for the redeployment of tools, jobs and manufacturing to the developing nations leaving countries like Australia short of technology, a manufacturing base and jobs.

Blind Freddy can now see what the outcome of that has been for our country with the unworkable trade and tariffs agreements, hand-in-hand with this, that have followed, as a matter of course. This is now a matter of reality with around 90% of our agriculture and manufacturing just gone.

Australia signed the Lima Declaration and hundreds of others with the support of all major political players (Whitlomb, Frasier, Hawk, Keating, Husun, Howard, Rudd, the democrats, the Greens and even the Nationals). It has been put to me that all of these treaties were the foundation for the rollout of Agenda 21. And it seems that Australia has been moved around the global chess board and our so-called leaders were either complicit or naive to the long-term consequences and now we are almost at checkmate.

In 1992, former President of the United States, George Bush, Sr., said "Effective execution of Agenda 21 will require profound reorientation of human society, unlike anything the world has ever experienced. A major shift in the priorities of both governments and individuals and an unprecedented redeployment of human and financial resources; this shift will demand that a concern for the environmental consequences of every human action will be integrated into individual and collective decision making at every level. "

Cutting through the code, I want everyone to consider what the words "profound reorientation of all human society" and "unprecedented redeployment of human and financial resources" actually means.

For everyone here tonight, not familiar with Agenda 21, I would suggest that this is the beginning of your learning curve-- not the end.

In 1992, Maurice Strong, Secretary General of the UN Earth Summit and member of the Club of Rome said: "It is clear that current lifestyles and consumption patterns of the affluent middle class involving high meat intake, consumption of large amounts of frozen convenience foods, use of fossil fuels, ownership of motor vehicles, small electrical appliances, home and work air conditioning and suburban housing are not sustainable.

Put those statements together with the previous one and it must become clear that Agenda 21 is about controlling every aspect of our lives. How we eat, what we eat, how much we eat, how we move around, food production, the amount of food and where we even live.

Dixie Raye, former Washington State Governor and Assistant Secretary for Oceans and International Environmental and Scientific Affairs stated: "Agenda 21 seeks to establish a mechanism for transferring the wealth from citizens to the third world. Fear of environmental crisis will be used to create a World Government and UN central direction."

From a report in the 1976 UN's Habitat One Conference: "Land cannot be treated as an ordinary asset controlled by individuals and subject to the pressures and inefficiencies of the market . . . Private land ownership is also a principle instrument of accumulation and concentration of wealth . . . therefore contributes to social injustice.

In other words, ladies and gentlemen, if you work hard and you exercise good financial management and invest in property, you are contributing to social injustice. In a report from the President's Council on Sustainable Development we need a new collaborative decision process that leads to better decisions, more rapid change and more sensible use of human natural and financial resources in achieving our goals and at the same time, Harvey Rubin, Vice Chairman of the Wildlands Project said: "Individual rights will have to take a backseat to the collective."

Jay Gary Lawrence, advisor to President Clinton's council on sustainable development: "Participating in a UN advocated planning process would very likely bring out many of the conspiracy fixated groups and individuals in our society. And here we are . . . this segment of our society who fear One World Government and UN invasion through which our individual freedoms will be stripped away would actively work to defeat any elected official who joined the conspiracy by undertaking Agenda 21. So we will call our process something else. We will call it *comprehensive planning* or *growth management* or *smart growth*."

We ended up with sustainable development. The redeployment of human and financial resources has now become visible to us all via the cut to services and programs to assist the sick, the young, the elderly, and now another level of poverty identified as the working poor. I was speaking to a person last week and he said to me that "If Hitler were still around he would be sitting back and thinking that I didn't need bullets for a global takeover . . . and he would be right."

We see data cash grabbing via new taxes called levies, supposedly because we are cash strapped in this state, and at the same time we see big project spending that doesn't fit with the message that we are in financial crisis. And yet we the people have to tighten our belt while the government seems absolutely unconcerned and unaware of the amount of debt it is accumulating.

This in turn means that taxes, levies and fines are increasing as well as cost of living rising exponentially and the ordinary citizen's ability to exist well is compromised with almost every law that we pass. We are constantly paying for services that we don't receive. This is wealth redistribution at the grass roots level. Redeployment of financial resources ensure hardship for the citizens who only want to get on with their lives.

The Parliament has also ventured into the rights of people to own and retain their property and manage it without government interference. In particular, the laws around water allocation, natural resource management, the native vegetation act, development and planning act which are all equally toxic to our food producers as they are to our own security as city dwellers. Everyone needs to remember that we all pay a natural resource management levy on our tax rates. So this is not just going to affect the food producers. The intrusions into our property that food producers are going through will roll out into the suburbs and into the city because they have the authority to do that.

We all have already fiddled in Parliament with land titles of people in rural areas and I'm talking about the Brasserre-Maclarenville legislation that passed, and the buzz words to justify that was "heritage status." At the same time that our right to own and manage our land, have access to water, produce adequate food to ensure that our only option is not to consume often toxic and substandard food from places like China this government has been working overtime to take away our rights to Common Law through many pieces of legislation. Common law is what guarantees us our ability to correct injustices. This coming year, I promise you, you will also hear debate over a number of pieces of legislation that will further erode our Common Law Rights and you *have* to get behind me on this, ladies and gentlemen, to stop this from going through.

As Agenda 21 began to become more and more apparent to me, I began using the line in Parliament that government was now declaring war on its own citizens, and that goes back as far as 2008. This, of course, led me to being labeled a conspiracy theorist. But, here we are now, openly talking about Agenda 21 and the ramifications we will see in a short period of time if we do not stop it in its tracks.

Let me just say that I often hear that we can't stop what is happening, two-party preferred system, vote Labor, vote Liberal, ah, what does it matter. And, in fact, that is probably true for the house of assembly, because that's where government is formed. But, ladies and gentlemen, here in south Australia we have the legislative council, the house of review, and, my friends, that is where the true power *does* lie and the last thing the government wants you to understand is that no matter who is in power, the legislative council is there for checks and balances. And when the legislative council isn't overly influenced by the major parties, legislation can be blocked.

Remember, on most of these policies I've spoken about today, there has been bipartisan support for everything that has gone through. When the major parties join together, then cross benches and minor

parties . . . basically . . . our vote is null and void. The only way to avoid that is to make sure that when the major parties join, they don't have the numbers. For way too long now, we the people have been asleep at the wheel, and it is time to wake up and participate in the democratic process and to do that you have to understand the parliamentary and political system that you are trying to reign in.

In 1972, the Club of Rome published the Alarmist Limits to Growth document warning of worldwide overpopulation, the need for sustainable development. This was the beginning of the slow process of social engineering and programming people to accept that the planet is struggling to sustain life. On the 8th of October, 1973, the New York Times reported a quote from Ted Turner, also Club of Rome: "The social experiment in China under Chairman Mao's leadership is one of the most important and successful in human history."

In 1987, Mikhail Gorbachev, also member of Club of Rome, said: "We are moving toward a New World Order, the World of Communism, and we shall never turn off that road." He also quoted in 1996, Monetary and Economic Review, on page 5, "The environmental crisis will be the international disaster that will unlock the New World Order, One World Government.

In 1992 came the Earth Summit, which produced the document called The Earth Charter, this document was co-written by Maurice Strong, long-time globalist, elitist, member of Club of Rome, and Mikhail Gorbachev. Both Strong and Gorbachev stated that it was hoped that this document would be adopted as the new Ten Commandments with Environmentalism as the New One World Religion. Out of this summit came Agenda 21.

Ted Turner, who is also a member of the Club of Rome, was quoted as saying in 1996: "The total population of 250 to 300 million people is ideal. That means a reduction of 95% from present levels would be even more ideal. Anyone who abhors the China One Child Policy is simply a Dumb-Dumb."

In 1998, the Baltimore Sun reported on July the 7th that most of Ted Turner's first donation to the UN of \$22M went to programs that seek to stall population growth. Another goal of the depopulation process is that the upcoming generation leads to sterilization to save Mother Earth.